An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple Non-Degenerate Equilibria

Bryan Félix¹ & Zev Woodstock²

¹University of Texas at Austin ²James Madison University

Texas A&M REU, 2015



1 / 22

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Review

Definition

A chemical reaction network $G = \{S, C, R\}$ consists of three finite sets:

- ① a set of species \mathcal{S} ,
- 2) a set $\mathcal C$ of complexes, and
- ${f 0}$ a set ${\cal R}\subseteq {\cal C} imes {\cal C}$ of reactions



2 / 22

Review

Definition

A chemical reaction network $G = \{S, C, R\}$ consists of three finite sets:

① a set of species \mathcal{S} ,

- 2) a set $\mathcal C$ of complexes, and
- **③** a set $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C} imes \mathcal{C}$ of reactions

Definition

The stoichiometric matrix Γ is the $|S| \times |\mathcal{R}|$ matrix whose kth column is the reaction vector of the kth reaction $y_k \to y'_k$, denoted $(y'_k - y_k)$



2 / 22

What's a Steady State?

The *reaction kinetics system* defined by a reaction network G is given by the following system of ODEs:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \Gamma \cdot \rho(x) \tag{1}$$

Where $\rho(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|\mathcal{R}|}$ is the vector that encodes the reactants of the *k*th reaction in its *k*th coordinate.



3 / 22

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple Texas A&M REU, 2015

What's a Steady State?

The *reaction kinetics system* defined by a reaction network G is given by the following system of ODEs:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \Gamma \cdot \rho(x) \tag{1}$$

Where $\rho(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|\mathcal{R}|}$ is the vector that encodes the reactants of the *k*th reaction in its *k*th coordinate.

Definition

A steady state of a reaction kinetics system $\frac{dx}{dt} = \Gamma \cdot \rho(x)$ is a non-negative concentration vector $x^* \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|S|}$ for which $\Gamma \cdot \rho(x^*) = 0$.



What's a Steady State?

The *reaction kinetics system* defined by a reaction network G is given by the following system of ODEs:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \Gamma \cdot \rho(x) \tag{1}$$

Where $\rho(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|\mathcal{R}|}$ is the vector that encodes the reactants of the *k*th reaction in its *k*th coordinate.

Definition

A steady state of a reaction kinetics system $\frac{dx}{dt} = \Gamma \cdot \rho(x)$ is a non-negative concentration vector $x^* \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|S|}$ for which $\Gamma \cdot \rho(x^*) = 0$.

Definition

A steady state $x^* \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|S|}$ is nondegenerate if $im(df(\mathbf{x}^*)) = im(\Gamma)$, where $df(\mathbf{x}^*)$ denotes the Jacobian of the reaction kinetics system at \mathbf{x}^* .

The Network $\widetilde{K}_{m,n}$

Definition

For positive integers $n \ge 2$, $m \ge 1$ we define the network $K_{m,n}$ of order n and production factor m to be:

$$X_1 + X_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{n-1} + X_n \rightarrow 0$$

$$X_1 \rightarrow mX_n$$

$$X_i \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow X_i$$

Theorem (Shiu & Joshi, 2015)

For positive integers $n \ge 2$ and $m \ge 2$, the fully open extension $K_{m,n}$ is multistationary if n is odd.

Conjecture (Shiu & Joshi, 2015)

For positive integers $n \ge 2$ and $m \ge 2$, if *n* is odd, then $K_{m,n}$ admits multiple positive **non-degenerate** steady states.

For any *n*, the system is given by,

Reactions
$$\begin{cases} X_1 + X_2 \stackrel{r_1}{\to} 0 & X_1 \stackrel{r_{n+1}}{\to} 0 & 0 \stackrel{r_{2n+1}}{\to} X_1 \\ X_2 + X_3 \stackrel{r_2}{\to} 0 & X_2 \stackrel{r_{n+2}}{\to} 0 & 0 \stackrel{r_{2n+2}}{\to} X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{n-1} + X_n \stackrel{r_{n-1}}{\to} 0 & X_{n-1} \stackrel{r_{2n-1}}{\to} 0 & 0 \stackrel{r_{3n-1}}{\to} X_{n-1} \\ X_1 \stackrel{r_3}{\to} mX_3 & X_n \stackrel{r_{2n}}{\to} 0 & 0 \stackrel{r_{3n}}{\to} X_n \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -r_1 x_1 x_2 - r_n x_1 - r_{n+1} x_1 + r_{2n+1} \end{cases}$$

ODE's
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = -r_{i-1}x_{i-1}x_i - r_ix_ix_{i+1} - r_{n+i}x_i + r_{2n+i}, \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n-1 \\ \dot{x}_n = -r_{n-1}x_{n-1}x_n + mr_nx_1 - r_{2n}x_n + r_{3n} \end{cases}$$



6 / 22

- ∢ ≣ →

Image: A math a math

Jacobian Matrix

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(1,1)} = -r_1 x_2 - r_n - r_{n+1}$$

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(1,2)} = -r_1 x_1$$

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(i,i-1)} = -r_{i-1}x_i \quad \forall \ i \in \{2, 3, ..., n-1\} df(\mathbf{x})_{(i,i)} = -r_{i-1}x_{i-1} - r_ix_{i+1} - r_{n+1} df(\mathbf{x})_{(i,i+1)} = -r_ix_i$$
(2)

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(n,1)} = mr_n$$

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(n,n-1)} = -r_{n-1}x_n$$

$$df(\mathbf{x})_{(n,n)} = -r_{n-1}x_{n-1} - r_{2n}$$



3

7 / 22

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

Jacobian Matrix



GOAL:

Find rates r_i and two steady state concentrations, $\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}^{\#}$, and show $Im(df(\mathbf{x})) = im(\Gamma)$.

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

THE UNIVERSITY OF

Texas A&M REU, 2015

- 31

8 / 22

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

Our Approach: Backtrack the Determinant Optimization Method

Theorem (Craicun & Feinberg, 2005)

If two conditions hold for a chemical reaction system, then it has the capacity for at least two steady state equilibria.

The main conditions on internal and outflow reactions:

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\eta}_i (y_i - y'_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_+$$
 for positive numbers $\tilde{\eta}_1, ..., \tilde{\eta}_k$.

$$(II) \qquad \det(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \cdot \det((y_1 - y_1'), (y_2 - y_2'), ..., (y_n - y_n')) < 0$$



9 / 22

Stoichiometrix Matrix for $\widetilde{K}_{m,n}$

$$(I) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\eta_i}(y_i-y_i') \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_+ \text{ for positive numbers } \tilde{\eta_1},...,\tilde{\eta_k}.$$

$$-\Gamma_{1,\dots,2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -m \end{pmatrix}$$

General solution: $\tilde{\eta}_{n-1} = (m+1)$, $\tilde{\eta}_j = 1$ for $j \neq n-1$ is a solution.



10 / 22

Stoichiometrix Matrix for $\widetilde{K}_{m,n}$

.

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\eta}_i (y_i - y'_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_+$$
 for positive numbers $\tilde{\eta}_1, ..., \tilde{\eta}_k$.

$$-\Gamma_{1,\dots,2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -m \end{pmatrix}$$

General solution: $\tilde{\eta}_{n-1} = (m+1)$, $\tilde{\eta}_j = 1$ for $j \neq n-1$ is a solution.

Remark: Since the matrix Γ contains the identity, it is full rank. Thus we must show det($df(\mathbf{x})$) $\neq 0$ for S.S. solutions \mathbf{x} .

Overview: Finding S.S. Concentrations & Rates

- Extend $\tilde{\eta}$ to describe all internal and outflow reactions, $\eta^- \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.
- Let $\eta_i^- = \lambda \ \tilde{\eta}_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$.
- Otherwise, let $\eta_i^- = \epsilon$.
- When n = 3, $\eta^{-} = (\lambda, (m+1)\lambda, \lambda, \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ for large λ , small ϵ .



11 / 22

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Overview: Finding S.S. Concentrations & Rates

- Extend $\tilde{\eta}$ to describe all internal and outflow reactions, $\eta^- \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.
- Let $\eta_i^- = \lambda \ \tilde{\eta_i}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, .., k\}$.
- Otherwise, let $\eta_i^- = \epsilon$.
- When n = 3, $\eta^- = (\lambda, (m+1)\lambda, \lambda, \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ for large λ , small ϵ .
- Define the augmented Jacobian,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{\eta} &= \\ \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1} + \eta_{n} + \eta_{n+1} & \eta_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \eta_{1} & \eta_{1} + \eta_{2} + \eta_{n+2} & \eta_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_{2} & & \ddots & & \cdots \\ \vdots & 0 & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \eta_{n-2} + \eta_{n-1} + \eta_{2n-1} & \eta_{n-1} \\ -m \eta_{3} & 0 & & \cdots & \eta_{n-1} & \eta_{n-1} + \eta_{2n} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Property (II) guarantees that det(T_{η^-}) < 0. We can construct another η^+ with positive determinant.



Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

< <>></>

Property (II) guarantees that det(T_{η^-}) < 0. We can construct another η^+ with positive determinant. By the IVT, we can find an η^0 such that det(T_{η^0}) = 0. We use this to find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{|S|}$ such that $T_{\eta^0} \cdot \delta = 0$.



An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

 →
 →
 >
 ≥

 </

Property (II) guarantees that det(T_{η^-}) < 0. We can construct another η^+ with positive determinant. By the IVT, we can find an η^0 such that det(T_{η^0}) = 0. We use this to find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{|S|}$ such that $T_{\eta^0} \cdot \delta = 0$. Letting $\eta^0 = \eta^-$ for all entries excluding η^0_{2n} , we see

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{bmatrix} 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ \lambda & 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \lambda & 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \ dots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \ dots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 0 & dots & \cdots & \lambda+\lambda(m+1)+\epsilon & \lambda(m+1) \ -m\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \lambda(m+1) & \lambda(m+1)+\eta^0_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$



Property (II) guarantees that det(T_{η^-}) < 0. We can construct another η^+ with positive determinant. By the IVT, we can find an η^0 such that det(T_{η^0}) = 0. We use this to find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{|S|}$ such that $T_{\eta^0} \cdot \delta = 0$. Letting $\eta^0 = \eta^-$ for all entries excluding η_{2n}^0 , we see

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{bmatrix} 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ \lambda & 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \lambda & 2\lambda+\epsilon & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \ dots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \ dots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 0 & dots & \cdots & \lambda+\lambda(m+1)+\epsilon & \lambda(m+1) \ -m\lambda & 0 & \cdots & \lambda(m+1) & \lambda(m+1)+\eta^0_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

WE'RE SKIPPING THIS STEP:
$$\eta_{2n}^{0} = \frac{(m+1)(m\lambda^{n} + \lambda(m+1)\boldsymbol{\neg}_{n-2})}{(\lambda(m+2)+\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\neg}_{n-2} - \lambda^{2}\boldsymbol{\neg}_{n-3}} - \lambda(m+1), \text{ where}$$
$$\eta_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}} * (-\epsilon+2\lambda(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+2\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\epsilon+4\lambda-(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}$$

Delta Recurrence

Next, we find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{|S|}$ such that $T_{\eta^0} \cdot \delta = 0$. Note that the nullspace of T_{η^0} is non trivial, since det $(T_{\eta^0}) = 0$. We let

$$\delta_{0} = 0 \quad \text{(For convenience)}$$

$$\delta_{1} = \delta_{1}$$

$$\delta_{k} = \frac{-(2\lambda + \epsilon)}{\lambda} \delta_{k-1} - \delta_{k-2} \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n-1$$

$$\delta_{n} = \frac{-(\lambda(m+2) + \epsilon)}{\lambda(m+1)} \delta_{n-1} - \frac{1}{m+1} \delta_{n-2}$$

The recurrence is given by

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

Delta Recurrence

Next, we find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}^{|S|}$ such that $T_{\eta^0} \cdot \delta = 0$. Note that the nullspace of T_{η^0} is non trivial, since det $(T_{\eta^0}) = 0$. We let

$$\begin{split} \delta_0 &= 0 \quad \text{(For convenience)} \\ \delta_1 &= \delta_1 \\ \delta_k &= \frac{-(2\lambda + \epsilon)}{\lambda} \delta_{k-1} - \delta_{k-2} \text{ for } 2 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ \delta_n &= \frac{-(\lambda(m+2) + \epsilon)}{\lambda(m+1)} \delta_{n-1} - \frac{1}{m+1} \delta_{n-2} \end{split}$$

The recurrence is given by

$$\delta_k = \delta_1 \lambda \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{4\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon^2} - (2\lambda + \epsilon))^k - (-\sqrt{4\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon^2} - (2\lambda + \epsilon))^k}{2^k \lambda^k \sqrt{4\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon^2}}$$

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

Texas A&M REU, 2015

13 / 22

Overview: Finding S.S. Concentrations & Rates

• Now we use $\delta \in NS(T_{\eta^0})$, to define all rates

$$r_i = rac{\langle y_i, \delta
angle}{e^{\langle y_i, \delta
angle} - 1} \eta_i^0$$

and concentrations

$$\mathbf{x}^{*} = (1, 1, ..., 1)$$

 $\mathbf{x}^{\#} = (e^{\delta_{1}}, e^{\delta_{2}}, ..., e^{\delta_{n}}),$

which are proven to be **<u>TWO</u>** distinct steady states.



14 / 22

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Here, we fix n = 3 and allow any integers $m \ge 2$.

$$T_{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 & \eta_1 & 0\\ \eta_1 & \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_5 & \eta_2\\ -m \eta_3 & \eta_2 & \eta_2 + \eta_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 1: Find $\eta^- = (\lambda, (m+1)\lambda, \lambda, \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$

We let $\lambda = 1$ and $\epsilon = .1$. Then $\eta^- = (1, (m+1), 1, .1, .1, .1)$ satisfies the conditions of the hypothesis.



15 / 22

- A - E - N

Texas A&M REU, 2015

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2.1 + m & m+1 \ -m & m+1 & m+1+\eta_6^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 2: Find $\eta^0 = (1, (m+1), 1, .1, .1, \eta_6^0)$



16 / 22

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2.1 + m & m+1 \ -m & m+1 & m+1+\eta_6^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 2: Find $\eta^0 = (1, (m+1), 1, .1, .1, \eta_6^0)$

We manipulate the determinant of $T_0 = 0$ to find a closed form for η_6

$$\eta_6^0 = \frac{3.1m^2 - .31m - 1.31}{2.1m + 3.41}$$

TEXAS

16 / 22

B → (B →

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2.1 + m & m+1 \ -m & m+1 & m+1 + rac{3.1m^2 - .31m - 1.31}{2.1m + 3.41} \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 3: Find δ in the nullspace of ${\cal T}_{\eta^0}$



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple Texas A&M REU, 2015

REU, 2015 17 / 22

$$T_{\eta^0} = egin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2.1 + m & m+1 \ -m & m+1 & m+1 + rac{3.1m^2 - .31m - 1.31}{2.1m + 3.41} \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 3: Find δ in the nullspace of T_{η^0}

Using the first two rows of \mathcal{T}_{η^0} and letting $\delta_1=1$ we get

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -2.1\\ \frac{2.1m+3.41}{m+1} \end{pmatrix}$$



17 / 22

< 3 >

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

The Reaction Rates

Using δ and the formulas in previous slides, we compute our rates:

$$r_{1} = \frac{-1.1}{e^{-1.1}-1} \approx 1.65 \qquad r_{2} = \frac{1.31}{e^{\frac{1.31}{m+1}}-1} \qquad r_{3} = \frac{1}{e^{-1}} \approx .58$$
$$r_{4} = \frac{.1}{e^{-1}} \approx .06 \qquad r_{5} = \frac{-.21}{e^{-2.1}-1} \approx .24 \qquad r_{6} = \frac{m-1.31}{e^{\frac{2.1m+3.41}{m+1}}-1}$$



Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

 →
 →
 >
 ≥

 </

The Reaction Rates

Using δ and the formulas in previous slides, we compute our rates:

$$r_{1} = \frac{-1.1}{e^{-1.1}-1} \approx 1.65 \qquad r_{2} = \frac{1.31}{e^{\frac{1.31}{m+1}}-1} \qquad r_{3} = \frac{1}{e^{-1}} \approx .58$$
$$r_{4} = \frac{.1}{e^{-1}} \approx .06 \qquad r_{5} = \frac{-.21}{e^{-2.1}-1} \approx .24 \qquad r_{6} = \frac{m-1.31}{e^{\frac{2.1m+3.41}{m+1}}-1}$$

and concentrations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^* &= (1, 1, 1) \\ \mathbf{x}^\# &= (e, e^{-2.1}, \frac{e^{\frac{2.1m+3.41}{m+1}}}{e^{\frac{2.1m}{m+1}}}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that only $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{3}}^{\#}, r_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $r_{\mathbf{6}}$ depend on m.



18 / 22

Texas A&M REU, 2015

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

The Determinant of Jacobians

By substitution we obtain the determinant of the Jacobian for the system:

 $det(df(\mathbf{x}^*)) = \frac{r_2}{r_1}r_3 \frac{m}{r_2} - \frac{(r_2 + r_6)(r_1r_3 + r_1r_4 + r_1r_5 + r_3r_5 + r_4r_5) - r_7r_6(r_1 + r_3 + r_4)}{r_2 r_6(r_1 + r_3 + r_4)}$



19 / 22

- A - E - N

Texas A&M REU, 2015

An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

The Determinant of Jacobians

By substitution we obtain the determinant of the Jacobian for the system:

 $det(df(\mathbf{x}^*)) = \frac{r_2}{r_1}r_3 \frac{m}{r_2} - \frac{(r_2 + r_6)(r_1r_3 + r_1r_4 + r_1r_5 + r_3r_5 + r_4r_5) - r_7r_6(r_1 + r_3 + r_4)}{r_2 r_6(r_1 + r_3 + r_4)}$

$$det(df(\mathbf{x}^{\#})) = \frac{r_2}{r_2} x_2((r_1x_2 + r_3 + r_4)(\frac{r_2}{r_2} x_3) + r_1x_1 m r_3) - (\frac{r_2}{r_2} x_2 + \frac{r_6}{r_6})(r_1x_2 + r_3 + r_4)(r_1x_1 + \frac{r_2}{r_2} x_3 + r_5) + (\frac{r_2}{r_2} x_2 + \frac{r_6}{r_6})(r_1x_1r_1x_2)$$



19 / 22

- - E - N

Texas A&M REU, 2015

Bounding the determinants

Based on these inequalities (we proved, with help from Dr. Dean Baskin),

$$0.14m > r_6 = \frac{m - 1.31}{e^{\frac{2.1m + 3.41}{m + 1}} - 1} > 0.13m - 0.5$$
$$m + 1 > r_2 = \frac{1.31}{e^{\frac{1.31}{m + 1}} - 1} \ge m$$
$$e^{\frac{2.1y + 3.41}{y + 1}} > x_3 = e^{\frac{2.1m + 3.41}{m + 1}} > e^{2.1} \quad \forall m \ge y$$

we can bound the determinants of our Jacobians,



Bryan Félix & Zev Woodstock An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple Texas A&M REU, 2015 20 / 22

Bounding the determinants

Based on these inequalities (we proved, with help from Dr. Dean Baskin),

$$0.14m > r_{6} = \frac{m - 1.31}{e^{\frac{2.1m + 3.41}{m + 1}} - 1} > 0.13m - 0.5$$
$$m + 1 > r_{2} = \frac{1.31}{e^{\frac{1.31}{m + 1}} - 1} \ge m$$
$$e^{\frac{2.1y + 3.41}{y + 1}} > x_{3} = e^{\frac{2.1m + 3.41}{m + 1}} > e^{2.1} \quad \forall m \ge y$$

we can bound the determinants of our Jacobians,

Proven Bounds

$$det(df(\mathbf{x}^*)) > 0.6294m^2 - 2.156m - 1.61 \quad \forall m \ge 2$$
$$det(df(\mathbf{x}^{\#})) < -0.41295m^2 + 4.9437m + 3.06205. \quad \forall m \ge 20$$

Bounding the determinants

Theorem

The chemical reaction system $\widetilde{K}_{m,3}$ has multiple positive non-degenerate steady states for $m \ge 2$.



Degeneracy Examples

The method outlined by [C & F] Does not always create non-degenerate steady states! Varying values of ϵ (which still satisfy the hypothesis) can produce nondegenerate steady states for certain values of *m*.



An Infinite Family of Networks with Multiple

Texas A&M REU, 2015 22 / 22