Parallel SSEP and a Casimir Element of \mathfrak{so}_{2n}

Mark Landry, Andrew Park

Texas A&M University

July 20, 2020

Goals

- Review relevant concepts from Markov processes and Lie algebras.
- Show the process used to start from a Casimir element of so_{2n} and arrive at a generator matrix.
- Oescribe expected properties of the Markov process given the generator matrix.

Markov Processes and Generator Matrices

A **Markov process** is a continuous-time physical process with a discrete number of states where states jump to other states at random times and the probabilities depend only on the present state, not past states.

A **generator matrix** encodes the jump rates between states. It has the properties:

- Each row sums to 0.
- All diagonal entries are non-positive.
- All off-diagonal entries are non-negative.

Proposition

Let Q be a generator matrix, and let q_{xy} be the (x, y) entry of Q. Let T_x be the holding time at state x. If $q_{xx} \neq 0$, then $P(X_{T_x} = y | X_0 = x) = \frac{q_{xy}}{-q_{xx}}$.

A Simple Generator Matrix Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Figure: Sample Markov Process

Example: Symmetric Simple Exclusion Process (SSEP)

- Introduced by Frank Spitzer (1970)
- \bullet 2-site generator matrix derived from a Casimir element of \mathfrak{sl}_2

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Figure: SSEP Configurations





\mathfrak{so}_{2n} as a Lie Algebra

 $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the Lie algebra of matrices of the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \middle| A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A = -D^T, B^T = -B, C^T = -C \right\}$$

Let $E_{i,j}$ be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with a 1 in the (i,j) entry and 0 elsewhere.

H_i = *E_{i,i}* - *E_{n+i,n+i} X_{ij}* = *E_{i,j}* - *E_{n+j,n+i} Y_{ij}* = *E_{i,n+j}* - *E_{j,n+i}*

•
$$Z_{ij} = E_{n+i,j} - E_{n+j,i}$$

A Cartan-Weyl Basis of \mathfrak{so}_{2n} consists of H_i for all $i \leq n$ and $X_{ij}, X_{ji}, Y_{ij}, Z_{ij}$ for all $i < j \leq n$.

Procedure to Obtain $\rho(\Omega)$

- Dual Basis (Fulfills condition with respect to Killing form)
 - Killing form B(F, S) = (2n − 2)Tr(FS) is 1 if S is dual basis counterpart of F, 0 for S is in dual basis but not counterpart
 - $H_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{4n-4}H_i$ • $X_{ij} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4n-4}X_{ji}; X_{ji} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4n-4}X_{ij}$ • $Y_{ij} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4n-4}Z_{ij}; Z_{ij} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4n-4}Y_{ij}$
- Casimir Element $\Omega = \sum_{i} A_i A^i$, where A_i is from basis and A^i is counterpart in dual basis.
- The ρ Representation
 - For $A \in \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, $\rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}}(A) = \rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}(A) \otimes \mathit{Id}_{2n} + \mathit{Id}_{2n} \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}(A)$
 - Example: $\rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}(X_{12})$ is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix, $\rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}}(X_{12})$ is a $4n^2 \times 4n^2$ matrix.

• Compute
$$\rho(\Omega) = \sum_{i} \rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}}(A_i) \rho_{\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}}(A^i)$$

Block Form of $\rho(\Omega)$

Lemma (Landry-Park)

The representation of the Casimir element $\rho(\Omega)$, a $4n^2 \times 4n^2$ matrix, can be written as a $2n \times 2n$ matrix of blocks each of size $2n \times 2n$ as:

$$\rho(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2n^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & X_{21} & X_{31} & \cdots & X_{n,1} \\ X_{12} & D_2 & X_{32} & \cdots & X_{n,2} \\ X_{13} & X_{23} & D_3 & \cdots & X_{n,3} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_{1,n} & X_{2,n} & X_{3,n} & \cdots & D_n \\ \hline 0 & -Y_{12} & -Y_{13} & \cdots & -Y_{1,n} \\ Y_{12} & 0 & -Y_{23} & \cdots & -Y_{2,n} \\ Y_{13} & Y_{23} & 0 & \cdots & -Y_{3,n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ Y_{1,n} & Y_{2,n} & Y_{3,n} & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -Y_{12} & -Y_{13} & \cdots & -Y_{1,n} \\ Y_{12} & 0 & -Y_{23} & \cdots & -Y_{2,n} \\ Y_{13} & Y_{23} & 0 & \cdots & -Y_{3,n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ Y_{1,n} & Y_{2,n} & Y_{3,n} & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -X_{n,1} & -X_{n,2} & -X_{n,3} & \cdots & D_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$
where $D_i = (2n-1)I + H_i$ and $D_{n+i} = (2n-1)I - H_i$ for $i \leq n$.

From $\rho(\Omega)$ to G_n

 $\rho(\Omega)$ is not yet a generator matrix, as its rows do not yet sum to 0. We need to use a correction term C such that $\rho(\Omega) - C$ is a generator matrix.

Procedure

- Let C be the diagonal matrix with entries c_i such that $c_i = \sum_j \rho(\Omega)_{ij}$.
- Negate rows of ρ(Ω) C to force all diagonal elements to be non-positive. Call this matrix G_n.

Note: Past research uses C = kI for some $k \in \mathbb{C}$, and then conjugates with a diagonal matrix to arrive at a generator matrix. In the case of \mathfrak{so}_{2n} , this technique fails to arrive at a generator matrix with finite entries if n > 2.

Properties of G_n

Lemma (Landry-Park)

The matrix G_n is the generator of a Markov process.

Lemma (Landry-Park)

All non-zero off-diagonal entries of G_n are equal.

First Properties of Markov Process:

- G_n is $4n^2 \times 4n^2$, which implies a Markov process with $4n^2$ states.
- From past research on Lie algebras, Casimir representations describe a particle system with two sites.

Absorbing States

An **absorbing state** of a Markov process is a state that "absorbs," i.e. if the process lands there, it will never jump to another state. This is represented in a generator matrix by a row of 0's.

Lemma (Landry-Park)

The Markov process with generator G_n has 2n absorbing states.



Figure: 2 Absorbing States in SSEP

Maximal Choice Rows

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **maximal choice row** is a row in which no other rows have a greater number of nonzero off-diagonal elements. The set of all maximal choice rows is called the **maximal choice set**.

Lemma (Landry-Park)

The generator G_n has 2n maximal choice rows, each of which have 2n - 2 non-zero off-diagonal entries.



Figure: 4 Maximum Choice States



Figure: 6 Maximum Choice States

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **pairwise row** is a row with exactly one nonzero off-diagonal entry. If pairwise row r has its nonzero off-diagonal entry at column s, and s is a pairwise row with nonzero off-diagonal entry at column r, rows r and s are called **pairwise states**.

Lemma (Landry-Park)

Any row in G_n is either an absorbing state, or a maximal choice row, or a pairwise state.



Figure: 2 Pairwise States from SSEP

Summary

Based on the properties we observe in G_n , a generator matrix derived from a Casimir element in \mathfrak{so}_{2n} , we expect the following properties in the corresponding Markov process:

- 4n² states total.
- 2*n* absorbing states.
- 2n maximum choice states that can each jump to 2n − 2 other maximum choice states.
- The remaining states split up into pairs that jump back and forth.

Parallel SSEP

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **Parallel SSEP with N sites** is a system that has two separate 1-dimensional SSEPs with $N \ge 2$ sites each. Each site can either be empty or have a particle on it, and while particles can interact with neighboring sites on the same lattice, they cannot jump to the other lattice. A Parallel SSEP with 2 sites will be referred to as a **Basic Parallel SSEP**.

Type-1 Parallel SSEP is the simplest case of a Parallel SSEP; it is a Parallel SSEP with only 1 type of particle.



Figure: A possible state of a Type-1 Basic Parallel SSEP

Consider a system similar to the Type-1 Basic Parallel SSEP where we introduce a second type of particle, 1/2 the mass of the first particle, to the upper lattice. This is the **Type-2 Basic Parallel SSEP** and has the following properties:

- Heavier particles can not move as long as a lighter particle in the system can move.
- If the two lattices are equal by mass, then the upper lattice allows fusion/fission which provides energy, possibly granting the lower lattice a free concurrent move.
- Lighter particles have the "upper-class" property seen in other SSEP variants.

Type-2 Basic Parallel SSEP



Figure: The two lattices are balanced; the top particle can undergo fission



Figure: The red particle will switch places with the black particle

Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP

Consider a Basic Parallel SSEP where the lower lattice allows particles of mass 1, and the upper lattice allows particles of mass $\omega \in \{\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$. We define the following properties:

- Mass Order Property: A particle can only move if no lighter particles can move.
- Balance Property: A set of balanced states exists in which the two lattices each have mass 1 and particles are able to undergo fusion and fission, defined respectively as donating mass to or taking mass from a neighboring site. These mass-preserving processes allow a concurrent move in the lower lattice.
- Class Property: A particle in a non-balanced state is able to switch places with neighboring particles of higher mass.

Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP** is a Basic Parallel SSEP where the lower lattice allows particles of mass 1, the upper lattice allows particles of mass $\omega \in \{\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$, and the following properties hold: Mass Order Property, Balance Property, and Class Property.



Figure: A possible state of a Type-3 Basic Parallel SSEP with mass values: $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1$

The Connection

We return to the generator G_n of a Markov process, and its connection to our newly defined particle system:

Theorem (Landry-Park)

Let m = n - 1. The generator matrix of the Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP is exactly G_n .

Evidence

Lemma (Landry-Park)

A Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP has $4(m+1)^2$ states made up of:

- 2(m+1) absorbing states
- 2(*m*+1) maximum-choice states
- $4(m+1)^2 4(m+1)$ pairwise states



Figure: Different states of Type-3 Basic Parallel SSEP with mass values: $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1$

The following formula takes L, the generator matrix for the SSEP with 2 sites, and expands it to L_N , the generator matrix for the SSEP with N sites:

$$L_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{j} \otimes L \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{N-j-2}$$

This formula also holds for the generator of a Parallel SSEP! Note that each lattice is expanded to N sites, so L_N gives 2N sites in total for a Parallel SSEP.

Subsystems

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **subsystem** of a Parallel SSEP with N sites is a subset of the system such that it is a Basic Parallel SSEP. A Parallel SSEP with N sites is made up of N - 1 overlapping subsystems which define the local properties of the entire system.



Figure: How subsystems make up the entire system

Expanded Type-m Parallel SSEP

Definition (Landry-Park)

A **Type-m Parallel SSEP with N sites** is a Parallel SSEP with N sites such that every subsystem is a Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP.





Figure: A possible state of a Type-3 Parallel SSEP with 5 sites

Remark

The total number of choices available to a state of a Type-m Parallel SSEP with N sites is just the sum of the number of choices of its subsystems.

The Final Result

Theorem (Landry-Park)

Let $L = G_n$, the generator of a Type-m Basic Parallel SSEP, and let:

$$L_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{i-1} \otimes L \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \cdots \otimes I}_{N-1-i},$$

 L_N is the generator of a Type-m Parallel SSEP with N sites.

Remark

The *i*th term of the summation corresponds directly to the *i*th subsystem!

Thank you!



Thank you to: Texas A&M University Prof. Jeffrey Kuan TA's Ola Sobieska and Zhengye Zhou National Science Foundation (DMS-1757872)



References

Gioia Carinci, Cristian Giardinà, Frank Redig, and Tomohiro Sasamoto.

A generalized asymmetric exclusion process with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ stochastic duality.

Probab. Theory Related Fields, 166(3-4):887-933, 2016.

Jeffrey Kuan.

Stochastic duality of ASEP with two particle types via symmetry of quantum groups of rank two.

J. Phys. A, 49(11):115002, 29, 2016.

Frank Spitzer.

Interaction of markov processes.

Advances in Mathematics, 5(2):246, 45, 1970.