



# Algorithmic Algebraic Geometry



Find an efficient algorithm to speed up real root counting for univariate tetranomials with high probability. Approach will be by approximating A-discriminant contours in a new way.



• **Root Behavior:** the discriminant variety is the set of coefficients that define a polynomial with degenerate roots.

- **Root Behavior:** the discriminant variety is the set of coefficients that define a polynomial with degenerate roots.
- **Degenerate Roots:** Degenerate roots help describe transitions in number of real roots and closeness to degeneracy governs hardness of numerical solving.

- **Root Behavior:** the discriminant variety is the set of coefficients that define a polynomial with degenerate roots.
- **Degenerate Roots:** Degenerate roots help describe transitions in number of real roots and closeness to degeneracy governs hardness of numerical solving.
- **Topological Behavior:** More generally, degenerate roots describe transitions in the isotopy type of a (varying) real algebraic surface.



• Algebraic Statistics: Where there is an unknown probabilistic model, and you are solving for some hidden probabilities that govern the model. This entails solving polynomial systems for roots in the interval [0,1].

- Algebraic Statistics: Where there is an unknown probabilistic model, and you are solving for some hidden probabilities that govern the model. This entails solving polynomial systems for roots in the interval [0,1].
- Computational Biochemistry: Where you are trying to predict possible equilibrium concentrations for certain compounds in a complicated chemical reaction. Here, one usually finds sparse polynomial systems in many variables, but of low degree.

- Algebraic Statistics: Where there is an unknown probabilistic model, and you are solving for some hidden probabilities that govern the model. This entails solving polynomial systems for roots in the interval [0,1].
- Computational Biochemistry: Where you are trying to predict possible equilibrium concentrations for certain compounds in a complicated chemical reaction. Here, one usually finds sparse polynomial systems in many variables, but of low degree.
- **Discretizing Partial Differential Equations:** In certain physical modelling problems, one is trying to approximate the solutions of a very complicated differential equation. So one then uses a numerical scheme to approximate the solution, and this usually involves expanding into a basis of polynomials. Getting information about the solution a PDE can then be reduced to solving a structured polynomial system, many times, with varying coefficients, over the real numbers.



Using the following tetranomial:

$$c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 \tag{1}$$



Using the following tetranomial:

$$c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 \tag{1}$$

yields a manageable discriminant:

$$-27c_0^2c_3^2+18c_0c_1c_2c_3-4c_0c_2^3-4c_1^3c_3+c_1^2c_2^2$$



### Harder Example

Using a nastier tetranomial:

$$c_0 + c_1 x^3 + c_2 x^5 + c_3 x^{19}$$
 (2)

### Harder Example

Using a nastier tetranomial:

$$c_0 + c_1 x^3 + c_2 x^5 + c_3 x^{19}$$
 (2)

yields a nastier result!:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1978419655660313589123979\ c_{0}^{16}c_{5}^{5}+6093825838807983035604992c_{0}^{12}\\ c_{1}^{3}c_{2}^{2}c_{3}^{4}-416630859061143640782400c_{0}^{10}c_{1}c_{2}^{7}c_{3}^{3}+4136784303514917397331968c_{0}^{8}c_{1}^{6}c_{2}^{4}c_{3}^{3}-\\ 168062625401816003641344c_{0}^{6}c_{1}^{11}c_{2}c_{3}^{3}+5465538956966243292282888c_{0}^{6}c_{1}^{4}c_{2}^{9}c_{3}^{2}+\\ 304059692558924048760832c_{0}^{6}c_{1}^{9}c_{2}^{6}c_{3}^{2}+9103573347707241984000c_{0}^{4}c_{1}^{2}c_{2}^{14}c_{3}+\\ 24410972524327076888576c_{0}^{2}c_{1}^{14}c_{2}^{3}c_{3}^{2}-1103132840914428362752c_{0}^{2}c_{1}^{7}c_{2}^{11}c_{3}+\\ 34725021329868800000c_{0}^{2}c_{2}^{19}+498062089990157893632c_{1}^{19}c_{3}^{2}-\\ 48896735641570639872c_{1}^{12}c_{2}^{8}c_{3}+1200096737160265728c_{5}^{5}c_{5}^{6}\end{array}$ 



We need a better way to plot the zero sets of complicated polynomials! We will use the clever Horn-Kapranov Uniformization to reduce the dimension of the parameter space!

### Horn-Kapranov Uniformaization

A way to efficiently parameterize discriminant varieties. For  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$ , let  $\hat{A}$  be the 2x4 matrix defined by appending a row of 1s to the top of A and let B in  $\mathbb{Z}^{4x^2}$  be any matrix whose columns form a basis for the right nullspace of  $\hat{A}$ . Then the (logarithmic, reduced) Horn-Kapranov Uniformization for A is the function

$$\xi_{\mathcal{A}}([\lambda_1:\lambda_2]):=(Log|[\lambda_1,\lambda_2]B^{\mathcal{T}}|)B$$

which defines a map from  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Horn-Kapranov Uniformization II



For nicer plots, we use:  $(\lambda_1 : \lambda_2) = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ This brings our plots from:  $[\lambda_1 : \lambda_2] \in P_R^1$ to:  $(\lambda_1 : \lambda_2) \in$  Unit Semi-Circle.

# 

### Amoeba

#### If f is any polynomial in $C[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ then its amoeba is the set

$$\{(\log |x_1|, \ldots, \log |x_n|) \mid f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0, x_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

.



#### Amoeba



Figure: This is the Ameoba for  $1 + x_1 + x_2$ .

Image obtained from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amoeba(mathematics)



• Amoebae look cool but are not trivial to draw.

- Amoebae look cool but are not trivial to draw.
- Deciding if a rational point (x,y) lies in a 2-dimensional amoeba is already NP-hard!

Plaisted, 1984; Avendano, Kogan, Rojas, Rusek, 2013

- Amoebae look cool but are not trivial to draw.
- Deciding if a rational point (x,y) lies in a 2-dimensional amoeba is already NP-hard!

Plaisted, 1984; Avendano, Kogan, Rojas, Rusek, 2013

• The boundary of the last amoeba is defined by the graphs of "simple" transcendental function, e.g.,  $y = Log(1 + e^x)$ .

- Amoebae look cool but are not trivial to draw.
- Deciding if a rational point (x,y) lies in a 2-dimensional amoeba is already NP-hard!

Plaisted, 1984; Avendano, Kogan, Rojas, Rusek, 2013

- The boundary of the last amoeba is defined by the graphs of "simple" transcendental function, e.g.,  $y = Log(1 + e^x)$ .
- Deciding if a rational point lies on or near such a curve gets us into interesting problems involving Diophantine approximation!



• Alternative: Approximate each amoeba boundary curve by a piecewise linear curve.

- Alternative: Approximate each amoeba boundary curve by a piecewise linear curve.
- Such curves can be extracted from the Horn-Kapranov Uniformization.

- Alternative: Approximate each amoeba boundary curve by a piecewise linear curve.
- Such curves can be extracted from the Horn-Kapranov Uniformization.
- Do they work well with random polynomials/points?

- Alternative: Approximate each amoeba boundary curve by a piecewise linear curve.
- Such curves can be extracted from the Horn-Kapranov Uniformization.
- Do they work well with random polynomials/points?
- Experiments show: So-so...



### Experimentation!!!

The ultimate goal of our experimentation is to understand how well tropical discriminant chambers approximate true sign chambers.





• Looks at a specific chamber of the amoeba

- Looks at a specific chamber of the amoeba
- Uses a tropical approximation of the curve to check which side of the curve (and by proxy, the coefficient space) a point resides in

- Looks at a specific chamber of the amoeba
- Uses a tropical approximation of the curve to check which side of the curve (and by proxy, the coefficient space) a point resides in
- Tests a set number of i.i.d. random points to see if they are within that chamber

- Looks at a specific chamber of the amoeba
- Uses a tropical approximation of the curve to check which side of the curve (and by proxy, the coefficient space) a point resides in
- Tests a set number of i.i.d. random points to see if they are within that chamber
- Yields an accuracy percentage
### Using a Tropical, Linear Approximation:

We use the piecewise function y=0 and y=x to approximate the curve of the amoeba.





#### **Results are so-so:**

#### Testing 1000 i.i.d. random points:

Trials:	1	2	3	4	5
%:	62%	65%	63%	60%	65%
Testing 10,000 i.i.d. random points:					
Trials:	1	2	3	4	5
%:			65%		64%
Testing 100,000 i.i.d. random points:					
Trials:	1	2	3	4	5
%:	64%	63%	64%	64%	64%

### **Complexity Issue for Chamber Membership**

• Deciding a polynomial inequality, involving a polynomial of degree d in n variables with coefficients all of absolute value  $\langle = H$ , at an input rational point  $p = (a_1/b_1, ..., a_n/b_n)$ , is a highly non-trivial problem!

### Complexity Issue for Chamber Membership

- Deciding a polynomial inequality, involving a polynomial of degree d in n variables with coefficients all of absolute value  $\langle = H$ , at an input rational point  $p = (a_1/b_1, ..., a_n/b_n)$ , is a highly non-trivial problem!
- We will use a little trick to get around this! We will change x and y to logarithmic values to yield a more manageable equation to test our inequalities.



### Alternative: Simplified Horn-Kapranov

# Alternative: Simplified Horn-Kapranov

• The arcs of the discriminant contour are defined by linear combinations of logarithms.

## Alternative: Simplified Horn-Kapranov

- The arcs of the discriminant contour are defined by linear combinations of logarithms.
- Approximate each arc by just 2 logarithms: This should also yield easier Diophantine approximation.





#### • Exponent values are plugged in



- Exponent values are plugged in
- Code runs through two loops to apply the Horn-Kapranov Uniformization

- Exponent values are plugged in
- Code runs through two loops to apply the Horn-Kapranov Uniformization
- The associated amoeba to the polynomial is given along with each quadrant



### Example:

We look at a family of polynomials

Canonical slice of Nabla<sub>4</sub>(R), plotted on log paper, for the family C1+C2X7+C3X22+C4X25

Figure: This is the Ameoba for  $c_1 + c_2 x^7 + c_3 x^{22} + c_4 x^{55}$ .





### **Testing the Coefficient Space**



• Setting the coefficients of the polynomial plots a point in the quadrant!

## **Testing the Coefficient Space**

- Setting the coefficients of the polynomial plots a point in the quadrant!
- This shows us where the polynomial lies in coefficient space!

### Plotting Polynomials as Points:





#### More Points:





# Plugging the previous polynomial examples into Maple will give the real roots.

### **Results:**

> $f_{1}^{7} := -1 - x^{7} + x^{22} - x^{55}$  $f_{7}^{2} := -x^{55} + x^{22} - x^{7} - 1$ realroot(f1);  $\left[-\frac{30953}{32768}, -\frac{61903}{65536}\right]$  $t^2 := -2 - 2 \cdot x^7 + 10 \cdot x^{22} - x^{55}$  $t^2 := -x^{55} + 10x^{22} - 2x^7 - 2$ realroot(f2);  $\left[\left[-\frac{118191}{131072},-\frac{472761}{524288}\right],\left[\frac{61}{64},\frac{977}{1024}\right],\left[\frac{139993}{131072},\frac{279989}{262144}\right]\right]$  $f_{3} := -1 + x^{7} + x^{22} - x^{55}$  $f_{3} := -x^{55} + x^{22} + x^7 - 1$ realroot(f3);  $\left[ \left[ -1, -1 \right], \left[ \frac{125029}{131072}, \frac{250335}{262144} \right], \left[ 1, 1 \right] \right]$  $f_{4} := -10 + 2x^{7} + 10x^{22} - 20x^{55}$  $f_{4} := -20 x^{55} + 10 x^{22} + 2 x^{7} - 10$ realroot(f4)  $\left[-\frac{1001}{1024}, -\frac{125}{128}\right]$

#### Figure: Using Maple software



# Now we can see which region future polynomials lie in which will give us the number of real roots!

Canonical slice of Nabla, (R), plotted on log paper, for the family

 $c_1 + c_2 x^7 + c_3 x^{22} + c_4 x^{55}$ 

### With many thanks...

- Thank you Dr. Rojas for the Matlab code!
- Thank you to Dr. Rojas and TA Joshua Goldstein for the guidance!
- Thank you to the NSF and Texas A & M for making this research experience possible!